



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION IX

**75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105-3901**

Certified Mail No. 7008 3230 0000 3862 9328
Return Receipt Requested

JUN 24 2010

Pamela Creedon
Executive Officer
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
11020 Sun Center Drive, Suite 200
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670

Re: Water Quality Criteria for Aluminum and the Placer County Sewer Maintenance
District 1 WWTP (NPDES Permit No. CA0079316)

Dear Ms. Creedon:

We have reviewed Placer County Department of Facility Services' request, dated June 14, 2010, to relax the aluminum effluent limitations in the proposed NPDES permit. Relaxing the effluent limitations may degrade water quality, adversely affect beneficial uses, and conflict with federal anti-backsliding and/or anti-degradation requirements. These concerns need to be addressed to ensure the permit effectively protects water quality and complies with NPDES permitting requirements.

At its May 27, 2010 meeting, the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board considered a proposed renewal of the NPDES permit for the Placer County Sewer Maintenance District 1 wastewater treatment plant. During the meeting, the discharger contested the applicability of EPA's National Recommended Water Quality Criteria for aluminum in determining reasonable potential for the discharge to exceed water quality standards and establishing effluent limitations. The discharger contested the use of the chronic aluminum criterion for protection of aquatic life since the criterion is based on a lower hardness than observed in the receiving waters. The 87 µg/l chronic aluminum criterion is based on a toxicity test with striped bass in water at pH between 6.5 and 6.6 standard units and hardness less than 10 mg/l.

The aluminum effluent limitations in the proposed permit were calculated by applying EPA-recommended aluminum criteria as an interpretation of the narrative toxicity standard in the Basin Plan. The effluent limitations were calculated in accordance with procedures described in the State Implementation Policy. The EPA criteria for aluminum were also applied to the existing permit for this facility to establish the average monthly and maximum daily effluent limitations.

We understand that the existing maximum daily effluent limitation has been met (with one exception) and the 30-day average effluent limitation has been met approximately 16 months out of 25 from 2006 to 2009. The discharger currently manipulates hardness in the effluent by adding magnesium hydroxide to provide

alkalinity for the nitrification process. Based on data the discharger provided, the upstream receiving water hardness in Rock Creek ranges from 20 to 98 mg/l, but the lowest observed effluent hardness is 141 mg/l. We understand that the reported lowest ambient hardness values (20 mg/l) may actually be a detection limit as that specific value was reported in six consecutive samples taken in 2007. If future modification to the treatment process discontinues or reduces the use of magnesium hydroxide, the effluent hardness may be significantly reduced.


EPA has not formally changed its recommended aluminum criteria; the appropriate aluminum criteria values for higher hardness situations remain uncertain. The existing EPA-recommended chronic aluminum criterion of 87 µg/l is clearly protective of aquatic life and is appropriate for use in evaluating reasonable potential and establishing effluent limitations. As EPA's Charles Delos notes in his 2002 and 2010 letters, it may be reasonable to apply a higher criterion value if the ambient hardness levels are substantially and consistently higher than the values used in deriving the existing chronic criterion value. When considering whether to apply a higher criterion value, the Regional Board should carefully consider whether the high ambient and effluent hardness values asserted by the discharger are accurate and likely to continue in the future.

The Regional Board has discretion in interpreting the Basin Plan narrative toxicity standard and it may be possible to make a different reasonable potential conclusion or derive less stringent effluent limitations than provided in the existing permit. However, a decision to apply a higher criterion and relax or eliminate the effluent limitations imposed by the previous permit would have to be supported by thorough anti-degradation and anti-backsliding analyses. Recent data show that effluent concentrations of aluminum ranged between 12 and 162 µg/l. A decision to eliminate or raise the aluminum effluent limitations above current performance levels would trigger serious anti-degradation and anti-backsliding concerns as that action would, in effect, authorize aluminum discharges above current discharge and ambient levels. The information from Mr. Delos provided by the discharger does not constitute "new information" that provides a basis for backsliding from existing permit limitations as we understand that information was initially provided to Regional Board staff in 2002, prior to issuance of the existing permit.

Given the uncertainty about appropriate aluminum criteria levels for this situation and the need to carefully evaluate anti-degradation and anti-backsliding implications of removing or relaxing the aluminum limitations, EPA Region IX recommends the conservative approach of retaining the existing effluent limitations in the new permit.

If you wish to discuss our recommendations, please contact Elizabeth Sablad of my staff at (415) 972-3044.

Sincerely,

 24 June 2010
Alexis Strauss, Director
Water Division